The Mathematics of Walking in the Himalayas

Luke Wolcott Science Hall Colloquium, Lawrence University 10.20.2015

Outline:

- 1. Warm up by talking about earlier projects
- 2. The Great Trigonometrical Survey of India
- 3. Description of project, "Altitude sickness: angels and asteroids"



The possibility of walking through a wall Elizabeth McTernan and Luke Wolcott 2007



A very scientific sound & distance study Elizabeth McTernan 2009











MAXIMUM DISTANCES AT WHICH A SNEEZE IS AUDIBLE IN THE GOBI DESERT

MAXIMUM DISTANCES AT WHICH PAIN 4 JOY ARE AUDIBLE IN THE GOBI DESERT

A tree calls Elizabeth McTernan 2012

6 hours, 31 minutes, 47 seconds to travel 7686.35km and arrive in central Copenhagen on 15 April 2012 at 15:31:47 local time









the coastline paradox: measuring a nameless island Elizabeth McTernan 2013













from A Mathematician's Lament, by Paul Lockhart:

SIMPLICIO: But we don't have time for every student to invent mathematics for themselves! It took centuries for people to discover the Pythagorean Theorem. How can you expect the average child to do it?

SALVIATI: I don't. Let's be clear about this. I'm complaining about the complete absence of art and invention, history and philosophy, context and perspective from the mathematics curriculum. That doesn't mean that notation, technique, and the development of a knowledge base have no place. Of course they do. We should have both. If I object to a pendulum being too far to one side, it doesn't mean I want it to be all the way on the other side. But the fact is, people learn better when the product comes out of the process. A real appreciation for poetry does not come from memorizing a bunch of poems, it comes from writing your own.

SIMPLICIO: Yes, but before you can write your own poems you need to learn the alphabet. The process has to begin somewhere. You have to walk before you can run.

SALVIATI: No, you have to have something you want to run toward...







The Great Trigonometrical Survey of India. 1802 – 1860s.

How it works: triangulation

baseline measurement

+ angle measurements at ends

+ law of sines

= location of new point























Superintendents of Survey: William Lambton (1802 – 1823) George Everest (1823 – 1843)

Wambton



Altitude sickness: angels and asteroids Elizabeth McTernan and Luke Wolcott 2015











in degrees F - boiling point in inches Hg - air pressure H trinfet - heightabore sealevel T=alnP+b $\ln P = k \ln(c - cd H)$ $P = (c - cdH)^{k} = c^{k}(1 - dH)^{k}$ $T - b = l_n P \rightarrow P = e^{T - b}$ $c^{k}(1-dH)^{k}=e^{\frac{1-b}{a}}$ 9 5165547778 9=49.161 $\left(\frac{T-b}{qk}\right)$ 6= 44.932 $d = 6.8753 \times 10^{-6} H = \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} e^{\left(\frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{2}\right)} \right)$ K= 5.2559 ak= 258.3853



15.C.1. Let G be a group and H a normal subgroup of G. Then $x^2 \in H$ for every $x \in G$ if and only if every element of G/H is its own inverse.

Proof. First we will show that if $x^2 \in H$ for every $x \in G$, then every element of G/H is its own inverse. Let Hx be a generic element of G/H. Then $x \in G$, and by hypothesis, $x^2 \in H$. By Theorem 5 in Chapter 15, this implies that $Hx^2 = He$. Then $Hx^2 = (Hx)(Hx) = He$, by the properties of coset multiplication. Therefore Hx is its own inverse.

To show the converse, we assume that every element of G/H is its own inverse. This implies that for any given $x \in G$, (Hx)(Hx) = He, by the definition of inverses. By coset operations, $(Hx)(Hx) = Hx^2 = He$. By Theorem 5 again, $Hx^2 = He$ implies $x^2 \in H$. Thus we have shown that if all elements of G/H are their own inverses, then $x^2 \in H$ for all $x \in G$.

15.C.3. Let G be a group and H a normal subgroup of G. Then every element of G/H has finite order if and only if for every $x \in G$ there is an integer n such that $x^n \in H$.

Proof. First suppose that for every $x \in G$ there is an integer n such that $x^n \in H$. Let Hx be an arbitrary element of G/H. Let n be such that $x^n \in H$. Then $Hx^n = He$, by Theorem 5 of Chapter 15. But by coset multiplication, $Hx^n = (Hx)^n$. Since He is the neutral element of G/H and $(Hx)^n = He$, the coset Hx has finite order in G/H. Since Hx was arbitrary, every element of G/H has finite order.

Conversely, now assume that every element of G/H has finite order. Then for every $x \in G$, there will be a least positive integer that satisfies $(Hx)^n = He$. Since $(Hx)^n = Hx^n$, this means $Hx^n = He$, so $x^n \in H$ by Theorem 5. Therefore for every $x \in G$, there is an integer n such that $x^n \in H$.

Theorem 3.5. Let T be a well generated tensor triangulated category such that loc(1) = T, as in Notation 2.1. Let $A = \{B_{\alpha}\}$ be a (possibly infinite) set of strongly dualizable objects. Then there exists a smashing localization functor $L : T \to T$ with Ker L = loc(A).

Proof. Let $E = \bigvee_{\alpha} B_{\alpha}$ and note that loc(E) = loc(A). The category T is well generated by hypothesis. The localizing subcategory S = loc(E) is also well generated, by [Iyengar and Krause 2013, Remark 2.2], and is tensor-closed by Lemma 2.5.

By [Iyengar and Krause 2013, Proposition 2.1] there exists a localization functor $L : T \to T$ with Ker L = S. We will show that L is a smashing localization. First we claim that the L-locals are tensor-closed. For any $Y \in T$, we have

> *Y* is *L*-local $\iff [W, Y]_n = 0$ for all $W \in S$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ $\iff [E, Y]_n = \prod [B_\alpha, Y]_n = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ $\iff [B_\alpha, Y]_n = 0$ for all α and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ $\iff DB_\alpha \wedge Y = 0$ for all α .

The second equivalence follows from the fact that $\{X \mid [X, Y]_n = 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a localizing subcategory containing *E*, and hence all of S. The final equivalence uses the fact that the B_{α} are strongly dualizable.

Now suppose Y is L-local and X is arbitrary. Then $DB_{\alpha} \wedge Y = 0$ for all α , so $DB_{\alpha} \wedge Y \wedge X = 0$ for all α , and thus $Y \wedge X$ is L-local. This shows that the L-locals are tensor-closed.

Consider the localization triangle $C1 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow L1$, where L1 is *L*-local and $C1 \in S$. For arbitrary $X \in T$, tensoring gives an exact triangle,

$$C\mathbb{1} \wedge X \to X \to L\mathbb{1} \wedge X.$$

The object $L \mathbb{1} \land X$ is *L*-local, since the locals are tensor-closed. Likewise, $C \mathbb{1} \land X \in S$, since S is tensor-closed and so $L(C \mathbb{1} \land X) = 0$. Therefore $X \to L \mathbb{1} \land X$ is an *L*-equivalence from *X* to an *L*-local object, and it follows that $LX \cong L \mathbb{1} \land X$. This shows that *L* is a smashing localization. \Box

e . 4041 14 August 2015 X= 2.4041 Roghicliffs @~3000m, 12:30pm x = 0 4041 temperature :70.5°C 0. 4041 16164 70.5 161640 x2: 0.16329681 0.4041 -> x = 0,0846 126.9 + 32 158.9 °F = T 1632968 $H = \frac{1}{d} \left(I - \frac{1}{c} e^{\left(\frac{T-b}{2E} \right)} \right)$ 0.065988240921 6= 44.932 eno 1! x+ f(1) x + f(1) x - --0.02199 A= 6.875310-6 $1 + x + \frac{x^2}{5} + \frac{x^3}{6} + \cdots$ 1+ x + x + x = x 9k=258.3853 1.0 0.4041 T-6: 158.9 - 44.9 114.0 +0,0101 0.4041 Should 1-4958 60 T-b aL 258. [114.0 0.441 1080 3 480

The End.

Thank you to: Chemistry department for loaning the thermometer Hitkarsh Chanana '18 for Hindi tutoring

